

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KARL STORZ ENDOSCOPY-AMERICA,  
INC,

No C 09-0355 VRW

ORDER

Plaintiff,

v

STRYKER CORPORATION AND STRYKER  
COMMUNICATIONS, INC,

Defendants.

---

On March 1, 2010, the court denied without prejudice defendants' motion to compel production of documents pending plaintiff's submission of a supplemental affidavit supporting plaintiff's attorney-client privilege claim. Doc #198. Plaintiff submitted a supplemental affidavit on March 11, 2010. Doc #199. Defendants thereafter renewed the motion to compel by letter brief. Doc #200.

Plaintiff's supplemental affidavit explains for each document the basis for plaintiff's claim of privilege. See Doc

1 #199 at 12-29; Doc #199-12. The submission suffices to meet  
2 plaintiff's burden to show the documents sought by defendants,  
3 document nos 1-3, 5-8, 10-18 and 20-25, fall within the scope of  
4 attorney-client privilege as defined in In re Spalding Sports  
5 Worldwide, 203 F3d 800, 803 (Fed Cir 2000); see also Clarke v  
6 American Commerce National Bank, 974 F2d 127, 129 (9th Cir 1992).  
7 Accordingly, defendants' renewed motion to compel, Doc #200, is  
8 DENIED.

9  
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11  
12 

13 VAUGHN R WALKER  
14 United States District Chief Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28